

**COSTA BRAVA PARTNERSHIP III,
L.P., et al.**

Plaintiffs

v.

TELOS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

* **IN THE**
* **CIRCUIT COURT**
* **FOR**
* **BALTIMORE CITY, Part 20**
*
* **Case No.: 24-C-05-009296**
*

ORDER

Upon consideration of plaintiffs’ motion for the appointment of a receiver and defendant’s opposition thereto, the Court having conducted a hearing on October 18, 2006, and having heard counsels’ arguments and having considered the proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law submitted by the respective parties, it is this 29th day of November, 2006, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Part 20, **ORDERED**, that plaintiffs’ motion for the appointment of receiver is **DENIED**, for the reasons set forth more fully in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion issued this date.

_____/S/_____
ALBERT J. MATRICCIANI, JR.
JUDGE
Date: Nov. 29, 2006

cc: counsel of record