

STATE OF MARYLAND	*	IN THE
	*	CIRCUIT COURT
v.	*	FOR
SHEILA ANN DIXON	*	BALTIMORE CITY
Defendant	*	CASE NO. 109009009
* * * * *		

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the pleadings and oral argument, it is this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March 2009, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland

**ORDERED** that the Defendant’s Demand for Particulars, to the extent set forth below, is **GRANTED**;

**AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the State shall provide to the Defendant, within seven (7) business days of the receipt of this Order, the following information:

- (1) The identity of each “real estate and land development project” in which Ronald Lipscomb was involved in Baltimore City during the period 2003 to 2008. The identification may use the common name of the such land development project as signified by how such project was referred to in City Council documents, Board of Estimates documents and/or the press;
- (2) The identity of each “corporation, limited liability company, limited partnership and joint venture” in which Ronald Lipscomb did business with the City, was regulated by the City or had a financial interest; provided, however, that the State may supplement its responses;
- (3) The identity of each “real estate and land development project” in which Patrick Turner was involved in Baltimore City during the period 2003 to 2008. The identification may use the common name of the such land development project as signified by how such project was referred to in City Council documents, Board of Estimates documents and/or the press;

- (4) The identity of each “corporation, limited liability company, limited partnership and joint venture” in which Patrick Turner did business with the City, was regulated by the City or had a financial interest; provided, however, that the State may supplement its responses;
- (5) With respect to Count Six of the Indictment with charges theft by deception in violation of Criminal Law Article 7-104, the dates or dates between December 18, 2006 and December 6, 2007 when the theft allegedly occurred;

**AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the balance of Defendant’s Demand for Bill of Particulars and the Exceptions of Sheila Ann Dixon, Defendant, to State’s Refusal and Failure to Comply With Defendant’s Demand for Particulars is DENIED.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/S/  
Judge Dennis M. Sweeney