

STATE OF MARYLAND V. SHEILA ANN DIXON

CASE NO. 109210015

JURY VERDICT SHEET

Count One (Theft)

Between on or about December 13, 2005 and January 29, 2006, Sheila Dixon knowingly did steal gift cards valued at more than \$500.00 from Patrick Turner by deception, in that she did solicit and obtain gift cards from Patrick Turner under the false pretenses that the gift cards would be used by the City Council President's Office to aid needy and underprivileged Baltimore families during the holidays.

not guilty guilty

Count Four (Fraudulent Misappropriation by a Fiduciary)

Between on or about December 13, 2005 and January 29, 2006, Sheila Dixon, as the duly elected President of the Baltimore City Council, while acting for and on behalf of the City of Baltimore, received in her fiduciary capacity certain gift cards valued in excess of \$500.00 donated to the City of Baltimore by Patrick Turner for distribution to the use and benefit of needy and underprivileged families in the City of Baltimore, and in violation of her fiduciary duty to the City and citizens of Baltimore fraudulently and willfully appropriated said gift cards to her own use and benefit.

not guilty guilty

Counts One and Four both relate to the gift cards from Patrick Turner. Count One and Four however are based on different legal theories. You can not find the Defendant guilty of both Counts One and Four. If you find the Defendant "guilty" of Count One you must find the Defendant "not guilty" of Count Four. On the other hand, if you find the Defendant "guilty" of Count Four, you must find the Defendant "not guilty" of Count One. You may, of course, find the Defendant "not guilty" of both Counts 1 and 4.

Count Three (Theft)

Between on or about December 12, 2007 and on or about June 17, 2008, Sheila Dixon knowingly did steal and convert to her own use and possession certain gift cards which were purchased by Baltimore City Housing and provided to her to distribute to the needy and underprivileged families in Baltimore, the value of which was less than \$500.00.

not guilty guilty

Count Six (Fraudulent Misappropriation by a Fiduciary).

Between on or about December 12, 2007 and on or about June 17, 2008, Sheila Dixon, as Mayor of the City of Baltimore, while acting for and on behalf of the City of Baltimore, received in her fiduciary capacity certain gift cards valued at \$100.00, more or less, purchased by Baltimore City Housing Authority using City of Baltimore funds for distribution to the use and benefit of needy and underprivileged families in the City of Baltimore through the Mayor's Holly Trolley Tour and in violation of her fiduciary duty to the City and citizens of Baltimore fraudulently and willfully appropriated said gift cards to her own use and benefit.

not guilty guilty

Counts Three and Six both relate to the gift cards purchased by the Baltimore City Housing Authority. Counts Three and Six however are based on different legal theories. You can not find the Defendant guilty of both Counts Three and Six. If you find the Defendant "guilty" of Count Three you must find the Defendant "not guilty" of Count Six. On the other hand, if you find the Defendant "guilty" of Count Six, you must find the Defendant "not guilty" of Count Three. You may, of course, find the Defendant "not guilty" of both Counts Three and Six.

Count Seven (Misconduct in Office)

Between on or about December 21, 2007 and continuing until or about June 17, 2008, Sheila Dixon, a public officer who was acting as the Mayor of Baltimore City, did in violation and perversion of her duties as Mayor to uphold, enforce and obey the laws of the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland, commit malfeasance by corruptly stealing and converting to her own use Toys R Us gift cards which had been purchased by the Baltimore City Housing Authority.

 ✓ not guilty guilty

If you found the Defendant "not guilty" of Count Three, you must find the Defendant "not guilty" of Count Seven. If you found the Defendant "guilty" of Count Three, you should consider whether the Defendant is "not guilty" or "guilty" of Count Seven.

The above verdicts are the unanimous verdicts of the jury.

THE JURY FOREPERSON'S
SIGNATURE APPEARS ON
THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT